Change in Sagittal Balance With Placement of an Interspinous Spacer
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Objective. To determine the effect of X-STOP implantation on sagittal spinal balance using 36-inch films.

Summary of Background Data. Interspinous process spacers have been shown as an effective treatment of neurogenic claudication. The devices block the last few degrees of extension at the stenotic level, thus preventing compression of the nerve roots. These devices have been criticized because they may push the patient’s spine into a kyphotic position. However, opening the stenotic level may allow a patient to stand more upright, thereby improving sagittal balance.

Methods. Institutional review board’s approval was obtained. A prospective study of 20 patients who were undergoing an X-STOP insertion was utilized. Their spines were x-rayed preoperatively and postoperatively with 36-inch films. Preoperative and postoperative sagittal balance was measured with a C7 body plum line on both films and the difference was measured. Lumbar lordosis was also compared using Cobb angles.

Results. Measurements taken from lateral full-length spine radiographs showed an average improvement in sagittal balance of 2.0 cm (range −3.7 to 6.1 cm). The average change in lordosis was −1.1º.

Conclusion. Although previous studies of interspinous process distraction have examined segmental lordosis, disc angles, and other parameters, this study is the first to examine overall spinal balance on full-length films. Interspinous distraction does not seem to be detrimental to sagittal balance, and may improve it.
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CLINICAL CASE SERIES

Patients with neurogenic intermittent claudication may lean forward to relieve their symptoms.1 Doing so increases the foraminal area and central canal.2 Over time, the habit of leaning forward to lessen pain may lead to sagittal decompensation in elderly patients as the spine degenerates and becomes less flexible.3 Many studies4,5 have shown that spinal sagittal balance is an important determinate of quality of life.

Current nonsurgical treatment of neurogenic intermittent claudication includes anti-inflammatory medications, physical therapy programs, and epidural steroid injections. Many of these measures have been shown to have a temporary benefit,6 whereas direct surgical decompression has been shown to have a more durable effect.7 Surgical options generally include a laminectomy, which may be done with fusion in the setting of spondylolisthesis. Complications for lumbar laminectomy are generally low but may be higher with laminectomy and fusion.8

Interspinous spacers, such as the X-STOP (Medtronic, Memphis, TN), are relatively new implants currently being used as a less invasive alternative to laminectomy or in patients whose medical comorbidities may preclude a general anesthetic.9,10 The indirect decompression procedure can be done under local anesthesia, in a prone or a lateral decubitus position via a midline approach. Siddiqui et al11 demonstrated that the X-STOP implant increases both the spinal canal area as well as the neural foraminal area. The increase in foraminal area and central canal area leads to an improvement in symptoms of neurogenic intermittent claudication. Current data from biomechanical and clinical studies support the short-term efficacy of interspinous process spacers in treating claudication related to moderate spinal stenosis.12,13,14,16–19 Regardless of longevity of outcome, or one’s thoughts on interspinous decompression, no studies have fully delineated the effect of indirect decompression on spinal balance.

Indirect decompression has been criticized as being kyphogenic in the lumbar spine. However, Lindsey et al20 demonstrated that the X-STOP device only affected the treated level and did not exert a global effect. Djurasovic et al21 showed that there was no change in local kyphosis after implantation of the X-STOP. Although these studies are informative, no study has examined the effect of indirect spinal decompression on overall sagittal balance on 36-inch spinal films.

The objective of this study is to determine the effect of X-STOP implantation on sagittal spinal balance using 36-inch films.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Approval from our institution’s review board was obtained for a prospective study. Twenty patients were...
enrolled into this radiographic study of sagittal balance before and after X-STOP implantation. All patients had 3-foot scoliosis films taken both preoperatively and postoperatively, at 6 weeks. Patients were instructed to stand upright, with their knees and hips extended for the radiographs. The preoperative and postoperative full-length spine radiographs were reviewed for both changes in overall sagittal balance and in lumbar lordosis. Sagittal balance was measured off a plumb line from the center of the C7 vertebrae by measuring its horizontal distance from the posterior-superior corner of the S1 body. Lumbar lordosis was measured using routine Cobb angles between L1 and L5.

RESULTS
The results of this study are listed in Table 1. The average age of the patients was 68 years (range 46–81 years). Five patients had an X-STOP placed at one level, 14 placed at two levels, and one placed at three levels. The average change in sagittal balance was an improvement of −2.0 cm (range 3.7 to −6.1 cm). An improvement in sagittal balance was seen in 80% (16/20) of the patients (range −0.6 to −6.1 cm). Decline in sagittal balance was seen in only 20% (4/20) of the patients (range 0.4–3.7 cm). The average change in lordosis from L1 to L5 was −1.1º (range −20.8 to 6.5º). Figure 1 demonstrates the improvement in sagittal balance in one patient. Clinically, the outcomes did not necessarily correlate with the change in sagittal balance as Patient 11, who had the largest deterioration in his sagittal balance (3.7 cm), had complete resolution of his symptoms. Patient 8, who had −5.6 cm improvement in sagittal balance, also had full resolution of her symptoms. However, Patient 12, who had the largest improvement in sagittal balance (−6.1 cm), had only partial resolution of his symptoms.

DISCUSSION
Multiple studies have demonstrated the impact of sagittal balance as a quality of life indicator. In particular, patients with fixed sagittal imbalance tend to expend more energy in gait and standing. Grobler et al described the symptom complex of sagittal imbalance with forward thrusting of the trunk as a source of pain and fatigue. Glassman et al have

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Number of Levels Treated</th>
<th>Preoperative Sagittal Balance</th>
<th>Postoperative Sagittal Balance</th>
<th>Change in Sagittal Balance</th>
<th>Change in Lordosis (L1–L5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient 1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>−3.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>−2.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>−5.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 4</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>−1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 5</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>−2.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 6</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>−2.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 7</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>−3.8</td>
<td>−4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>−5.6</td>
<td>−4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 9</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>−0.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 10</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>−1.2</td>
<td>−2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 11</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>−20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 12</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>−6.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 13</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>−3.2</td>
<td>−2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 14</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>−0.6</td>
<td>−1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 15</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>−4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 16</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>−1.2</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 17</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>−0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 18</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>−3.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 19</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>−2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 20</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>−2.0</td>
<td>−1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
shown that positive sagittal balance in the adult patient with a spinal deformity negatively impacts quality of life. The use of lumbar pedicle subtraction osteotomy in 33 patients with fixed sagittal imbalance improved sagittal balance by an average of −12.7 cm and demonstrated a significant improvement in functional outcomes at 2-year follow up. Glassman et al compared multiple radiographic measures with functional outcome scores in 298 patients and found that overall sagittal balance correlated best to overall quality of life as measured by Scoliosis Research Society-29 Index and Oswestry Disability Index (ODI). Bridwell\(^8\) recommends correcting patients to normal sagittal balance, which he defines as the C7 plumb line within 6 cm of the posterior-superior corner of the S1 body. In a review of 73 patients with adult scoliosis, Mac-Thoing et al\(^9\) confirmed this recommendation by demonstrating that positive sagittal balance greater than 6 cm correlated with increasing ODI scores. The importance of sagittal balance and quality of life indicators has lead some to question the wisdom of kyphogenic implants in the lumbar spine.

In the present study, sagittal balance was improved in most patients (average improvement −2.0 cm). The X-STOP has been shown to reduce extension only at the implanted level and does not restrict motion in flexion, axial rotation, or lateral bending.\(^{16}\) Furthermore, it does not affect the motion of adjacent segments. Siddiqui et al\(^{22}\) found no significant changes in disc heights or segmental and total lumbar spine movements postoperatively. The authors concluded that the X-STOP device does not affect the sagittal kinematics of the lumbar spine \emph{in vivo}.\(^{22}\) Patients tend to lean forward to relieve the symptoms of neurogenic intermittent claudication, thereby globally flexing the lumbar spine.\(^{1,23}\) For a preoperative patient with focal lumbar stenosis, the whole spine is flexed to accommodate one or two levels. It is possible that opening one stenotic segment of the spine through interspinous spacer placement provides relief, thereby allowing a patient to stand more fully erect. This is consistent with the findings of Lindsey et al,\(^{16}\) who demonstrated the local-only effect of the X-STOP device. If the local stenosis is addressed, the rest of the spine is free to assume the most efficient posture and sagittal balance. Our data on a limited number of patients support this hypothesis.

**LIMITATIONS**

Sagittal balance can be difficult to measure accurately. Patients can assume many different compensatory postures, including a retroverted pelvis, extended hips, flexed knees, and dorsiflexion of the ankles to pull their head back over their pelvis.\(^{24}\) In our study, we minimized the effects of these dynamic postures by having the patients stand with their hips and knees in neutral for the radiographs. Sagittal balance measurements have previously been validated to within 3 mm for intra- and inter-observer reliability.\(^{25}\) From these data, it is reasonable to conclude that an average difference of −2.0 cm is valid. As for the measurement of lordosis, the average difference of 1.1º is well within the previously accepted margin of error, 3º to 8º, for the measurement.\(^{25-27}\) These data indicate that there is no detectable difference in lordosis.

Longer term follow-up is required. In this study, sagittal balance was accessed at 6 weeks postoperatively. Sagittal balance did not deteriorate in the short-term in this study. However, the long-term effect of the X-STOP on sagittal balance remains to be studied.

**CONCLUSION**

Although previous studies of interspinous process distraction have examined segmental lordosis, disc angles, and other parameters, this study is the first to examine sagittal balance on full-length films. Interspinous spacer placement does not seem to be detrimental to overall sagittal balance in the short-term, and may improve it.
Key Points

- Sagittal balance is important to quality of life.
- X-STOP interspinous spacers are a minimally invasive treatment for neurogenic claudication.
- X-STOP interspinous spacers do not affect global sagittal balance and may even improve it.
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